DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the

RECEIVED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIONAR 1 2 2001 Washington, DC 20554

FROEDAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non-Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and)
Interexchange Carriers)
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service) CC Docket No. 96-45
Access Charge Reform for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers Subject to Rate of Return Regulation) CC Docket No. 98-77 /
Prescribing the Authorized Rate of Return for Interstate Services of Local Exchange Carriers)

WORLDCOM REPLY COMMENTS

WorldCom, Inc. (WorldCom) hereby submits its reply to comments on the access reform and universal service plan submitted to the Commission by the Multi-Association Group (MAG).

In evaluating the MAG plan and the Rural Task Force (RTF) recommendation, "[t]he Commission should be aware of the dangers and risks involved in continual expansion of universal service funds." As several commenters discuss, the entire burden of the Commission's universal service programs falls on users of interstate

Sprint Comments at 8. See also Qwest Comments at 5-6.

services, principally long distance customers.² Unjustified and uncontrolled increases in the size of the universal service fund would only further distort long distance prices and place additional burdens on an industry whose revenues and margins are already flat or declining.

In their current form, the MAG plan's universal service provisions are inconsistent with Section 254 and would result in a substantial and unwarranted increase in the size of the universal service fund. In particular, the proposed Rate Averaging Support (RAS) fund would violate Section 254 because it would provide support for special access services, advanced services, and other services that are not included in the Section 254(c)(1) definition of universal service. And by linking the RAS fund's size to the unduly generous revenue per line (RPL) formula, MAG has designed a fund that will almost certainly grow faster than the cost of providing supported services.

WorldCom agrees with AT&T that the Rural Task Force's High Cost Fund III (HCF III) would be superior to MAG's proposed RAS.³ While the HCF III and RAS are both designed as a "make whole" funds, HCF III at least has the virtue of not including special access costs. Moreover, the size of the HCF III would be constrained by the ILEC's authorized rate of return, rather than being allowed to grow regardless of changes in the ILEC's costs.⁴ Finally, because the HCF III would be implemented within the existing rate of return framework, the Commission could quickly eliminate implicit

²Id.

³AT&T Comments at 2-4.

⁴AT&T Comments at 11.

support without first resolving the complex issues associated with MAG's proposed incentive regulation scheme.

Given the complex issues associated with the transition to incentive regulation, the Commission should defer the creation of an incentive regulation plan to a further proceeding. Clearly, the Commission cannot adopt MAG's incentive regulation scheme without significant modifications. First, non-ILEC commenters agree that the inflation-adjusted RPL scheme needs to be modified to include an X-factor. In arguing that the "diversity" of non-price cap ILECs precludes the selection of an X-factor, MAG ignores the fact that it has implicitly selected an X-factor of its own -- zero. There is considerably more support in the record for an X-factor of at least 3 or 4 percent than an X-factor of zero.⁵

Second, any incentive regulation scheme should be mandatory for the largest ILEC holding companies. While WorldCom recognizes that many small rural ILECs are concerned that incentive regulation may not be appropriate for their operations,⁶ this concern does not justify making incentive regulation optional for ALLTEL, Century and other large holding companies with millions of access lines and billions of dollars in revenues. WorldCom agrees with AT&T that the better approach is to make incentive regulation mandatory for larger non-price cap ILECs, while giving only the smallest ILECs the option of remaining under rate of return.⁷

⁵See, e.g., AT&T Comments at 16, Appendix A.

⁶See, e.g., Comments of the Interstate Telecom Group at 7-8.

⁷AT&T Comments at 13-14.

With respect to MAG's proposal to eliminate the caps on the High Cost Loop (HCL) fund, non-ILEC commenters agree that there is no basis for the Commission to adopt this proposal. Contrary to MAG's claim, the Commission cannot increase the size of the HCL fund in order to "provide incentives" for investment in new infrastructure that is capable of supporting broadband services. To increase the size of the fund in order to support advanced services infrastructure would be inconsistent with Section 254's mandate that the universal service fund subsidize only those services included in the 254(c)(1) definition of universal service. Even if MAG's argument is given its most benign reading -- that MAG is claiming that today's level of universal service support is insufficient to support up-to-date network technology -- there is no evidence to support such a claim.

MAG's proposal to eliminate controls on mergers and acquisitions would further inflate the size of the universal service fund. As WorldCom discusses in its reply comments in the RTF proceeding, repeal of Section 54.305 would result in rural carriers "placing an unreasonable reliance upon potential universal service support in deciding whether to purchase exchanges," and would lead to uncontrolled increases in the size of the universal service fund. MAG is also incorrect when it suggests that the all or nothing rule has been rendered "obsolete" by "current accounting safeguards and

⁸MAG Comments at 27-28.

⁹See WorldCom RTF Reply Comments at 7-8.

¹⁰Universal Service Order at ¶ 308.

requirements."¹¹ The current Commission accounting safeguards and reporting requirements that MAG claims would guard against cost-shifting are little changed since 1990, when the Commission found that its accounting safeguards and reporting requirements were <u>not</u> sufficient to guard against cost shifting.¹²

To the extent that the Commission authorizes an increase in the size of the HCL fund or allows exceptions to the Section 54.305 constraints — which it should not — the Commission should take the approach recommended by the RTF. While WorldCom believes that the RTF's HCL-related recommendations also lack a reasoned basis, they would at least place some constraints on the future growth of the HCL fund and on the support available to rural carriers that acquire exchanges.

For the reasons stated herein, the Commission should not adopt the MAG plan in its current form. The Commission's priorities should be reform of rate of return carriers' rate structure and the creation of an explicit HCF III-type fund that replaces implicit support currently in access charges. The transition to incentive regulation should be

¹¹MAG Comments at 28-29.

¹²Policy and Rules Concerning Rates for Dominant Carriers, <u>Second Report and Order</u>, 5 FCC Rcd 6786, 6819-6820 (1990).

deferred to further proceeding. If the Commission finds that changes to the HCL fund or the mergers and acquisitions rules are warranted, it should adopt the approach recommended by the RTF.

Respectfully submitted, WORLDCOM, INC.

Alan Buzacott 1133 19th Street., NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 887-3204

March 12, 2001

STATEMENT OF VERIFICATION

I have read the foregoing, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief there is good ground to support it, and that it is not interposed for delay. I verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 12, 2001.

Alan Buzacott

Senior Manager, Regulatory Affairs

1133 19th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 887-3204

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Vivian I. Lee, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Reply Comments were sent via first class mail, postage paid to the following on this 12th of March, 2000.

International Transcription Services** 1231 20th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20036

The Honorable Susan Ness*
Commissioner, FCC Joint Board Chair
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-B115H
Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth* Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-B115H Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Gloria Tristani*
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-B115H
Washington, DC 20554

International Transcription Service**
1231 20th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder Commissioner, State Joint Board Chair South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Captol, 500 East Capitol Street Pierre, SD 57501

The Honorable Martha Hogerty Public Counsel Missouri Office of Public Counsel 300 West High Street, Suite 250 Truman Building, P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 The Honorable Bob Rowe Commissioner Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue P.O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59620-2601

The Honorable Patrick H. Wood, III Chairman Texas Public Utility Commission 1701 North Congress Avenue P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

The Honorable Nanette G. Thompson Chair Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501-1693

Rowland Curry
Chief Engineer
Texas Public Utility Commission
1701 North Congress Avenue
P.O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 78701-3326

Greg Fogleman
Economic Analyst
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
General Gunter Bldg.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Mary E. Newmeyer Federal Affairs Advisor Alabama Public Service Commission 100 N Union Street, Suite 800 Montgomery, AL 36104 Joel Shifman Senior Advisor Maine Public Utilities Commission 242 State Street State House Station 18 Augusta, MD 04333-0018

Peter Bluhm
Director of Policy Research
Vermont Public Service Board
Drawer 20
112 State Street, 4th Floor
Montpieller, VT 05620-2701

Charlie Bolle
Policy Advisor
Nevada Public Utilities Commission
1150 E. Williams Street
Carson City, NV 89701-3105

Carl Johnson Telecom Policy Analyst New York Public Service Commission 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350

Lori Kenyon Common Carrier Specialist Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West 6th Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 9950

Susan Stevens Miller Assistant General Counsel Maryland Public Service Commission 16th Floor, 6 Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Tom Wilson, Economist
Washington Utilities & Transportation
Commission
1300 Evergreen Park Drive, SW
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504

Philip McClelland Senior Assistant Consumer Advocate PA Office of Consumer Advocate 555 Walnut Street Forum Place, 5th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Barbara Meisenheimer Consumer Advocate Missouri Office of Public Counsel 301 West High Street, Suite 250 Truman Building Jefferson City, MO 63102

Earl Poucher Legislative Analyst Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Ann Dean Assistant Director Maryland Public Service Commission 16th Floor, 6 Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

David Dowds
Public Utilities Supervisor
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oaks Blvd.
Gerald Gunter Bldg.
Tallahassee, FL 32399

John F. Jones VP, Government Relations CenturyTel, Inc. 100 Century Park Drive Monroe, LA 71203

Karen Brinkmann Richard R. Cameron Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Mark C. Rosenblum Judy Sello Room 1135L2 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Lawrence E. Sarjeant Linda L. Kent Keith Townsend John W. Hunter Julie E. Rones USTA 1401 H Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Richard A. Askoff Regina McNeil NECA 80 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981

L. Marie Guillory
Daniel Mitchell
NTCA
4121 Wilson Blvd.
Tenth Floor
Arlington, VA 22203

Lawrence G. Malone General Counsel NYDPS Albany, NY 12223-1350

James Rowe, Executive Director Alaska Telephone Association 201 E. 56th, Suite 114 Anchorage, AK 99518

Gerard J. Duffy Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast 2120 L Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20037 Jimmy Jackson GCI 2550 Denali Street, Suite 1000 Anchorage, AK 99503

Jay C. Keithley Sprint 401 9th Street, NW, #400 Washington, DC 20004

Heather H. Grahame Dorsey & Whitney LLP 1031 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Anchorage, AK 99501

Walter R. Challenger Chairman PSC of the U.S. Virgin Islands P.O. Box 40 Charlotte Amalie, USVI 00804

Cheryl L. Parrino
D. Scott Barash
USAC
2120 L Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20037

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.
Mary J. Sisak
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy &
Prendergast
2120 L Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20037

Chris Barron TCA Inc. 1465 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

David Cosson John Kuykendall Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson LLP 2120 L Street NW, Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037 Peter Arth, Jr. Lionel B. Wilson Ellen S. Levine Jonady Hom Som 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Bruce C. Reuber, President Interstate Telcom Consulting, Inc. 130 Birch Avenue West (P.O. Box 668) Hector, MN 53342-0668

Joseph DiBella 1320 North Court House Road Eighth Floor Arlington, VA 22201

Margot Smiley Humphrey Holland & Knight 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20037

Stuart Polikoff Stephen Pastorkovich OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Gregory J. Vogt Derek A. Yeo Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Fred Williamson & Associates, Inc. 2921 E. 91st Street, Suite 200 Tulsa OK 74137-3300

Jeffry H. Smith GVNW Consulting, Inc. 8050 SW Warm Springs Street, Suite 200 Tualatin, OR 97062 Jeffrey F. Beck
Jillisa Bronfman
Beck & Ackerman
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 760
San Francisco, CA 94111

Douglas Meredith Director Economics & Policy John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706

John Bentley Staff Attorney Vermont PSB Montpelier, VT 05602

Michele C. Farquhar David L. Sieradzki Hogan & Hartson, LLP 555 Thirteenth St., N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Vivian Lee